

Application No. 10/672,813
Amendment B Date: June 6, 2007
Reply to Office Action dated March 16, 2007

Amendments to the Drawings

None.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 06 2007

Application No. 10/672,813
Amendment B Date: June 6, 2007
Reply to Office Action dated March 16, 2007

Remarks/Argument

As stated above, the instant amendments are made for the purpose of placing the application in condition for allowance, in conformance to the Final Office Action, as modified by agreements reached in a telephonic Examiner Interview conducted between Examiner Van T. Trieu and Applicant's counsel Barton A. Smith on May 31, 2007.

Those agreements and corresponding amendments were as follows:

1. That Applicant would clarify that the term "warning sign" in independent claim 1, and dependent claims 8, 12, 13, 30, 31, and 32, means a "human warning sign," i.e., an actual sign, designed to convey a warning to a human being. Both the written description and the claims are amended accordingly. The examiner agreed that, with that clarification, those claims are not anticipated by the cited reference. (Also, though not discussed in the examiner interview, in claim 30, the phrase "at least one" was added before the phrase "human warning sign," to be consistent with claim 1.)
2. That Applicant would clarify claim 4 by substituting for the term "portable" the phrase "capable of being carried by a person." The examiner agreed that, as so clarified, claim 4 would not be anticipated by the cited reference, irrespective of the status of claim 1.
3. In claim 22, the examiner concluded upon further reflection that the cited reference does not in fact specify "high density molded plastic" as the

Application No. 10/672,813
Amendment B Date: June 6, 2007
Reply to Office Action dated March 16, 2007

weather-resistant construction material, and therefore agreed that claim 22 was not anticipated by the cited reference, irrespective of the status of claim 1.

4. Similarly, in claim 23, the examiner agreed that the cited reference does not specify Teflon® as the weather-resistant construction material, so that claim 23 was also not anticipated by the cited reference, irrespective of the status of claim 1.

5. Applicant would clarify claim 24, adding at the end such language as, "... so as to illuminate said warning replica from within." The examiner agreed that, as thus clarified, claim 24 would not be not anticipated by the cited reference, irrespective of the status of claim 1.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 06 2007

Application No. 10/672,813
Amendment B Date: June 6, 2007
Reply to Office Action dated March 16, 2007

Conclusion

The instant application having been amended in conformance with the Final Office Action, as modified by the agreements, discussed above, that were reached in the referenced Examiner Interview, the Applicant respectfully requests that the rejections of claims 1-31 be withdrawn, and the application be passed to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,



Barton A. Smith
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 50,763